Review Article

The Role Of Cross-Sector Collaboration In Reducing Racial And Social Disparities In Healthcare

Sakhi A. Lal

Lafayette High School, 17050 Clayton Road, Wildwood, Missouri 63005, United States

ABSTRACT

Despite the presence of a robust healthcare system, racial disparity and social inequalities remain prevalent in the United States. These disparities in healthcare are persistent challenges, disproportionately affecting marginalized communities and contributing to unequal health outcomes. This study investigates the role of cross-sector collaboration among healthcare providers, public health agencies, community-based organizations, and policy makers in mitigating these disparities. Using a mixed-methods approach that includes case studies, stakeholder interviews, and data analysis from collaborative health initiatives across five urban regions, the research identifies key drivers of success in cross-sector partnerships. Findings highlight the importance of community engagement, shared data systems, culturally competent care models, and sustained funding in reducing barriers to access and improving health equity. The study concludes that cross-sector collaboration is not only essential but also highly effective in addressing the root causes of health disparities, and it offers policy recommendations to support the development and scalability of such partnerships nationwide.

Keywords: Racial, Disparity, Inequality, Healthcare, Bias, Minority

INTRODUCTION

Access to healthcare services is a fundamental right to every individual irrespective of their race. Nevertheless, it is evident that racial and ethnic minorities have long suffered from health inequalities in the United States. Many minority groups and communities of color typically reside in poverty-ridden areas; they have limited access to hospitals, clinics, reliable transportation, and pharmacies. The high rates of violence and unsafe air/water quality result in greater health and safety risks. Besides, since most city schools are unable to provide an adequate education, it is difficult for minorities to attain high paying jobs, and studies show that individuals with lower levels of education are less healthy and live shorter lives than those with higher levels of education. This has resulted in yet another public health concern, which is underrepresentation of black and Latino doctors in the medical field, with 5% of US physicians identifying as black, and 5.8% identifying as Latino (1). The lack of access to unadulterated food and healthy meals is a big factor as to why minority groups across the U.S. experience higher rates of illness

Corresponding author: Sakhi A. Lal, E-mail: sakhixlal@gmail.com.

Received April 9, 2025**; Accepted** May 3, 2025
https://doi.org/10.70251/HYJR2348.331724

and death across a wide range of health conditions, including diabetes, hypertension, obesity, asthma, and heart disease when compared to their white counterparts. These conditions are only exacerbated as hospitals and clinics are located far from these neighborhoods, and the lack of insurance makes it difficult to afford a primary care provider, medication, and treatment. To reduce these disparities, an integrated approach involving public health, social services, and the private sector is necessary. This research paper examines cross-sector partnerships' success in eliminating healthcare disparities and offers suggestions for future efforts.

UNDERSTANDING RACIAL DISPARITIES AND SOCIAL INEQUALITIES IN HEALTHCARE

Racial disparities and social inequalities in healthcare manifest as differences in access, quality, and outcomes of care, influenced by race, ethnicity, and socioeconomic status. These disparities are rooted in systemic factors such as socioeconomic conditions, implicit bias, structural and environmental racism (2). Racial minorities and impoverished populations have been found to have increased prevalence of chronic disease, reduced life expectancy, and lower availability of preventive services. These inequalities are perpetuated by social determinants of health (SDOH) such as education, employment, housing, and access to health care (3). Individuals with less income have reduced access to good quality care due to financial constraints, lack of insurance, and limited health facilities within their localities. Evidence suggests that medical providers may have implicit biases that affect diagnosis, treatment, and patient care for racial minorities (4). Historical and structural disparities, such as redlining and discriminatory practices, have increased disparities in access to care and health outcomes (5). Minority neighborhoods are often underserved and lack facilities like specialized hospitals, clinics, and specialists, making it harder to get timely and sufficient care. Along with lower health literacy among marginalized groups, language and cultural barriers lead to misunderstandings and unfavorable health outcomes. Predominantly non-medical determinants of health, including housing quality, food deserts, schooling, occupation, and exposure to pollution, determine overall well-being and access to healthcare. Black women in the U.S. experience maternal mortality three to four times higher than that of White women due to poor prenatal care, bias in pain management, and increased stress from racial discrimination. Black, Hispanic, and Indigenous communities have higher rates of diabetes, hypertension, and heart disease, which often stem from limited access to preventive care and healthy foods (6). Minority communities are diagnosed at late stages of cancer due to decreased screening and access barriers to specialized oncology services. Racial and ethnic minorities utilize fewer mental health services due to stigma, lack of financial resources, and few culturally competent providers. Expanding Medicaid, enforcing anti-discrimination laws and investing in community-based health initiatives can collectively improve healthcare access in underserved communities.

INTEGRATING HEALTHCARE AND SOCIAL SERVICES

Healthcare inequities are a persistent issue in many societies, disproportionately affecting racial minorities and economically disadvantaged populations. The problems can be tackled through an integrative effort outside the traditional health care services. Bringing together health and social care could be a way of handling social inequality and racial disparity in health by influencing the wider determinants of health. By bringing medical care together with support for housing, nutrition, mental health, and community connections, we can assure people get whole-person care that is individualized to their requirements.

One of the main reasons racial minorities and low-income individuals are less healthy is because of SDOH. These are income, education, housing, and access to healthy food (7). Traditional healthcare will often treat disease but will not address these root causes of ill health. By combining social services with healthcare, communities can step in beforehand and stop disease before it starts and improve overall health. Unstable housing is a significant cause of poor health. Interventions that help patients find housing can decrease hospitalization and promote health in the long run. Scarcity of food—most affecting Black, Latino, and Indigenous communities—can lead to poor diet, obesity, and a higher risk for chronic illness. Hospitals and clinics that partner with nutrition programs and food banks help to ensure that patients not only receive medical care but also healthy food that supplements their overall health. Most racial and poor populations lack access to preventive and primary care services, which leads to higher levels of preventable disease and emergency room utilization. The incorporation of social services in healthcare delivery bridges this disparity by enhancing access to and affordability of preventive care.

Mobile health clinics and telemedicine care are

good options for community health programs that have been successful in reaching out to people in rural and underserved urban communities. Such programs help in removing the barrier of transportation and in ensuring that people get regular check-ups, vaccinations, and screenings before their condition deteriorates. Healthcare centers can also partner with local agencies and schools in offering health education programs, which equip people with knowledge on nutrition, disease prevention, and mental health awareness.

In Boston, the Racial and Ethnic Approaches to Community Health (REACH) program partnered with community health centers to decrease infant mortality among Black women (8). They lowered infant mortality by offering education to pregnant women, nutrition assistance, and racial bias reduction training for clinicians. This partnership greatly improved the health of mothers and children, showing how cooperation between healthcare and social services can lead to major strides in equity in health.

Systemic racism in healthcare has contributed to increased mortality rates, delayed diagnosis, and racial minorities' distrust of healthcare institutions (9). Integrating healthcare with social services can combat these disparities by promoting culturally sensitive care and advocating for patients. Community Health Workers (CHWs) are trusted members of the community who can cross the cultural and language divide between providers and patients. CHWs can offer health education, advocacy, and support to make healthcare more accessible to everyone. Implicit biases create differential health care service offerings and affect the effectiveness of care provided (10). These implicit biases can be annihilated with the help of racial equity training provided to the healthcare professionals, resulting in more equitable care for minority patients.

The Minnesota Health Equity Networks Initiative partnered with Black and Indigenous community leaders to build trust in the healthcare system. The initiative offered racial equity training to healthcare providers and expanded telehealth services. As a result, it improved vaccination rates and chronic disease management within minority communities.

A colossal issue among poor and minority communities is disparity in mental illness. It is immensely difficult to access culturally appropriate mental health treatment. Racism, economic problems, and historical persecution play a significant role in elevated rates of depression, anxiety, and trauma in racial minorities. Yet mental health treatments are not given enough funds or are not readily available in these communities, causing the untreated issues to escalate over time.

By incorporating mental health services within primary care, health systems can provide patients with physical as well as emotional care. Stationing mental health professionals within the reach of minorities, like in community health centers, schools, and religious centers, may encourage individuals to ask for help without having the fear of being stigmatized. Availability of a safe place to discuss mental health is essential, especially for those cultures where mental health is still a taboo. Religious and community organizations can play a pivotal role in such situations.

The Camden Coalition of Healthcare Providers in New Jersey successfully integrated mental health and addiction services into their primary care model (11). This initiative resulted in a 40% reduction in emergency room visits among high-risk patients. It is imperative to understand the connection between mental well-being and physical health. This approach effectively boosts patient stability and overall health outcomes. To succeed in bringing healthcare and social services together for decades to come, we need policy reforms and long-term funding at both the local and federal levels. Most of the social programs that serve vulnerable populations, like Medicaid, affordable housing initiatives, and food stamp programs, do not have adequate funding or are at risk of budget cuts. Policymakers must understand that investing in social services can save healthcare costs by preventing chronic diseases, reducing hospitalization, and enhancing productivity in the workplace.

Public-private partnerships can be utilized for creating sustainable healthcare and social service models. Local governments, nonprofit organizations, and healthcare systems can partner together to strengthen health equity programs in a manner that sees funds reaching the most vulnerable communities. Further, payment models for healthcare must be such that they encourage prevention and coordinated care instead of depending on emergency care only.

To address social inequalities and racial disparities, amalgamation of healthcare and social services is of vital importance. It is critical to take necessary steps by pursuing determinants of health, ensuring easy access to primary care services, combating racial biases, expanding mental health services, and pressing for policy changes. However, achieving these goals necessitates multisectoral collaboration that extends beyond the public sector alone and strategically leverages the strengths of both public institutions and private stakeholders.

PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS

Public-private partnerships (PPPs) between government agencies and the private sector can enhance healthcare provision for economically disadvantaged populations. For example, the Healthy People 2030 initiative requires partnership between local governments, non-profit organizations, and business groups to promote health equity (12). By integrating public resources with private sector, PPPs can stretch access, boost better health outcomes, and address the SDOH that contribute to inequality. Government can engage with private sector which often have expertise and resources to provide innovative solutions.

One of the primary methods that PPPs can lessen disparities is by facilitating easier access to healthcare for those locations where there is limited access to healthcare services. Governments may not always possess the funds and resources needed to set up complete healthcare services, but private entities have the expertise and technology to develop new solutions. Through PPPs, healthcare organizations can set up community health centers, mobile health services, and telemedicine programs that reach racial minorities and low-income individuals. For example, the Merck for Mothers program partnered with public health entities to improve Black mothers' and Indigenous mothers' maternal care. Through the partnership, access to prenatal care improved, healthcare providers were trained on cultural competency, and maternal deaths among racial minorities reduced (13).

Housing, food security, employment, education, etc. are the non-medical factors that affect health. PPP can play a vital role in addressing these issues. California Whole Person Care Program, a joint program of State and private healthcare providers, is focusing on providing coordinated medical care, housing assistance and mental health support for homeless individuals who are suffering with chronic illness (14).

Preventive care is the primary requisite to reduce racial disparities in health outcomes; still many low-income communities do not have access to routine screenings, vaccinations and health education. PPPS can fill this gap by offering funds to community-based health programs which stresses early detection and disease prevention. Partnership for a Healthier America is one such program, which is supported by private companies and government agencies. They work with Black and Latino communities to fight childhood obesity by providing access to healthy food, exercise programs, and nutrition education (15). These measures help avert long-term health conditions like diabetes and heart disease, which mostly affect racial minorities.

Private sector is always ahead as far as technological advancements are concerned, and when they collaborate with public health initiative, it can deliver a better equitable healthcare system. PPPs can make sure that marginalized populations can also reap the benefits from modern healthcare innovations. During the COVID-19 pandemic, we witnessed the collaboration between government and private pharmaceutical companies. This collaboration made sure that vaccines reached underserved communities through targeted outreach programs and mobile vaccination clinics. This effort helped reduce racial disparities in vaccination rates. While such high-level collaborations are essential, sustained impact also requires engagement at the grassroots level.

COMMUNITY-BASED INITIATIVES

Community organizations play a crucial role in addressing healthcare disparities by offering culturally competent care, educational programs, and outreach services. Community health workers (CHWs) and mobile clinics are some of the programs that have been found to be effective in reaching marginalized communities. Local resources, community leaders, non-profit organizations, and Community-Based Initiatives (CBIs) can join hands to narrow healthcare gaps, enhance health outcomes, and help underserved populations.

SDOH, like housing, food security, education, and employment, is a major reason for healthcare disparity. CBIs can combine social services with healthcare and offer a comprehensive solution to improve overall well-being. The Wholesome Wave program offers low-income families inexpensive produce, shrinking food insecurity and enhancing nutrition-related health outcomes. Similarly, the Faith-Based Health Network renders mental health support and health education in places of worship.

CBIs can help bring the healthcare services to the people who need them most. Mobile health clinics and community health centers offer free or low-cost primary care, screenings, and vaccinations in those neighborhoods where there is limited healthcare access. Initiatives like Black Women's Health Imperative have been successful in reducing infant and maternal mortality rates by setting up health centers that provide maternal care and preventive care to Black women (16).

CBIs can also impart health education and self-advocacy so that individuals could stand up for themselves. Healthcare workshops can equip individuals

with the knowledge they need to make more informed decisions about their health. The Latino Health Access Initiative, run by the State of California, which teaches families to manage chronic diseases like hypertension and diabetes, effectively improves health outcomes in the Latino population (17).

Building trust among minorities is imperative to reduce social inequality in the healthcare system. Many minority communities do not trust the healthcare system due to historical injustices and racial bias in the healthcare system. CBIs can help restore the trust by engaging with CHWs who have the same background as the people they serve. CHWs play the role of liaisons between patients and healthcare providers, offering language translation, health education, and patient advocacy. Minnesota Health Equity Networks Initiative has coached many CHWs to help native and immigrant communities to steer through the healthcare system (18).

COLLABORATIVE RESEARCH INITIATIVES

Research is essential for understanding and addressing racial disparities in healthcare. It is difficult to understand the extent of these disparities and inequalities. Identification of root causes and development of effective strategies to resolve these issues require robust data. Social organizations can collaborate with academic institutions, public agencies, and healthcare providers to conduct extensive research, to push for policy changes that support marginalized communities, and to improve health outcomes (19).

Funding has been a major issue for many research initiatives addressing racial disparities in healthcare (20). Lack of funds limits the scope of potential studies. Social organizations encourage increased funding from the government, private foundations, and philanthropic donors. Encouraging private businesses to invest in research, particularly big corporations in the health sector and technology industries.

TECHNOLOGY AND DATA SHARING

Leveraging technology, such as electronic health records (EHRs) and intersectoral data exchange, can effectively identify and address healthcare gaps. AI-driven health analytics have also been used to predict and mitigate health risks for underprivileged populations. Many low-income and minority communities do not have medical facilities or transportation near them, so it becomes hard to get timely medical care. Telemedicine bridges this gap by enabling patients to communicate with doctors remotely, without the need for travel costs and waiting times. Telehealth programs have been especially useful for rural and underserved urban communities, where specialists and hospitals are few. Telehealth services expanded exponentially during the COVID-19 pandemic. They delivered mental health counseling, chronic disease management, and prenatal care to racial minority groups that historically had difficulties accessing care. Ongoing investment in telemedicine can help make healthcare access more equitable and consistent for all people in the future.

Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning can help reduce human biases in healthcare decisions. AI programs that help diagnose disease analyze large sets of patient data to identify diseases early, suggest personalized treatment plans, and identify patterns of racial differences in treatment. For example, artificial intelligence imaging technology has been used to help detect breast cancer earlier in Black women. They are diagnosed later because of differences in referral and screening rates. Data analysis can also help monitor healthcare disparities and ensure that aid goes to the most in-need groups (21). EHRs let medical professionals share information on patients' history, medications, and treatment plans so that care is seamless across different sites. For example, EHR systems linked with social services databases can allow healthcare providers to identify patients in need of ancillary services, such as housing assistance, food security initiatives, or mental health counseling. This coordinated approach ensures that SDOH are dealt with at the same time as medical care (11).

Health institutions and governments can resort to data analytics in policy making to reduce racial and social disparities. Policy makers can identify areas of disparity by collecting and analyzing health outcome metrics by geography, income, and race and allocate resources accordingly. CDC's Racial and Ethnic Approaches to Community Health (REACH) initiative has provided data to assist in funding healthcare programs that target Black, Latino, and Native communities (22). This analysis has improved vaccination for chronic diseases. Technology and sharing data are formidable tools in creating an equitable healthcare system. Telemedicine widens the reach of healthcare. Artificial intelligence eliminates diagnostic bias; EHRs improve care coordination, and data-driven policymaking makes sure resources are shared where they are most required.

CHALLENGES TO CROSS-SECTOR COLLABORATION

While cross-sector collaboration is vital for achieving health equity, it faces several challenges. One of the significant challenges is a lack of funding since most collaborative efforts are reliant on short-term grants or unstable sources. Government, nongovernment, and not-for-profit organizations often vary in their funding levels and priorities, rendering long-term fiscal support for healthcare equity initiatives difficult (23). Without sufficient investment, initiatives to address social inequality and racial disparities may lack the ability to scale or sustain impact over the long term (24).

Misaligned policy hinders effective collaboration. Healthcare laws, social service policy, and business plans contradict one another, leading to inefficiency and delays. Conflicting objectives among public and private health entities lead to tension, which hampers decision-making and collaboration. Federal, state, and local policies differ, making it challenging to develop coherent policies that address disparities.

Data privacy concerns hinder healthcare organizations, social services, and policymakers from sharing essential patient data. Rigorous regulations like the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act limit information sharing, even when it would improve care coordination and health outcomes (25). A variety of data systems hinders EHR interoperability with social service databases, leading to fragmented care and inefficiency in patient needs (26).

Opposition to the disruption of existing relationships and hierarchies and logistical and regulatory obstacles impede collaboration. Health care facilities are accustomed to being stuck in outdated, closed systems, which limit external help. Medical care has traditionally overshadowed SDOH determinants, making community-based solutions challenging to implement. Asymmetries in power between large health organizations and small community organizations constrain local initiative to influence collaboration (27).

CONCLUSION

Constructing a fair and effective healthcare system necessitates the collaborative efforts of social organizations, healthcare providers, policymakers, researchers, and consumers. Social organizations can create real, lasting change by promoting policy reform, increasing access to healthcare, combating bias, promoting health literacy, conducting research, and establishing community trust (28). These efforts will dismantle systemic barriers so that all people—regardless of race, income, or background—can access the quality care they deserve. Together, we can build a healthcare system based on equity, compassion, and justice.

Cross-sectoral partnerships hold tremendous promise to significantly reduce racial and social inequalities in the healthcare sector through the promotion and facilitation of cooperation among numerous stakeholders, which comprise government entities, private establishments, nongovernmental institutions, and community-based organizations (29). By consolidating financial resources, technical acumen, and participatory grassroots dynamics, these multisectoral partnerships can address not merely the medical determinants of health but also those essential non-medical drivers that impact general welfare, ensuring the efficient distribution of fundamental health care services to the underserved populations that need them the most. It brings together efforts across different sectors to create a far more integrated response to a broad array of problems, which are all interconnected. The integrated approach addresses such key issues as access to quality health services, preventive health education that is empowering, strengthened social support systems that provide help to those who need it, and economic obstacles. To be capable of effectively spanning such significant gaps, it is essential that concrete and viable policy interventions are put in place. They should guarantee that cooperative mechanisms are not only well structured but also adequately financed and can retain their effectiveness over time. Towards this, governments play a fundamental role and must be active in establishing clear and precise regulatory frameworks. In addition, they should enact fiscal incentives and effective accountability systems that will cumulatively foster and enhance good collaborations between the health sector and other agencies.

These innovative collaborative models continue to evolve and expand over time; research in the future must focus on examining their long-term impacts on healthcare equity across diverse populations in depth. These studies must examine the ways in which varying forms of partnership between communities and healthcare providers influence health outcomes, reduce disparities in chronic disease incidence across different population groups, and ultimately enhance overall patient satisfaction with the healthcare system. Furthermore, it will be of utmost importance to identify the best practices that serve to scale up effective activities that traverse sectors. This will be with a view to replicating existing models that have

been successful and scaling them up so they can reach larger numbers of people. Through a continual process of evaluation and refinement of collective approaches, all stakeholders involved can be sure that these cross-sector partnerships not only continue with time but also become a powerful and sustained movement that guarantees equity in healthcare for all.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thank you sincerely to my mentor, Dr. Malia Dunbar from Maryville University for the assistance she provided in developing this review paper.

FUNDING SOURCES

The author has no funding sources that supported the research and preparation of this article.

DECLARATION OF CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The author declares that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this article.

REFERENCES

1. Stanford FC. The importance of diversity and inclusion in the healthcare workforce. *Journal of the National Medical Association*. 2020; 112 (3): 247–249. DOI: 10.1016/j.jnma.2020.03.014.
2. Gopal DP, Chetty U, O'Donnell P, Gajria C & Blackadder-Weinstein J. Implicit bias in healthcare: clinical practice, research and decision making. *Future Healthcare Journal*. 2021; 8 (1): p.40–48. DOI: 10.7861/fhj.2020-0233.
3. Flaubert JL, Le Menestrel S, Williams DR & Wakefield MK. (Eds.). The Future of Nursing 2020-2030: Charting a Path to Achieve Health Equity. *National Academies Press (US)*. 2021: p.31–59. DOI: 10.17226/25982.
4. Sabin JA. Tackling implicit bias in health care. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2022; 387 (2): 105-107. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMp2201180.
5. Yearby R. The social determinants of health, health disparities, and health justice. In Wiley LF, Yearby R, Clark BR & Mohapatra S (Eds.). *Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics*. 2022; 50 (4): 641-649. DOI: 10.1017/jme.2023.3.
6. Caught between two worlds: Cardiovascular care in American Indians and Alaska Natives. Available from https://www.acc.org/Latest-in-Cardiology/Articles/2020/10/01/01/42/Cover-Story-Caught-Between-Two-Worlds-Cardiovascular-Care-in-American-Indians-and-Alaska-Natives, (accessed on 2023/12/27).
7. Yearby R, Clark B & Figueroa JF. Structural racism in historical and modern US health care policy. *Health Affairs*. 2022: p. 187-194. doi: 10.1377/hlthaff.2021.01466.
8. Giles WH. The US perspective: lessons learned from the Racial and Ethnic Approaches to Community Health (REACH) Program. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*. 2010; 103 (7): 273–276. DOI: 10.1258/jrsm.2010.100029.
9. Williams DR & Rucker TD. Understanding and addressing racial disparities in health care. *Health Care Financing Review*. 2000; 21 (4): 75–90. DOI: 10.2196/11481746.
10. Sabin JA, Nosek BA, Greenwald AG & Rivara FP. Physicians' implicit and explicit attitudes about race by MD race, ethnicity, and gender. *Journal of Health Care for the Poor and Underserved*. 2009; 20 (3): 896–913. DOI: 10.1353/hpu.0.0185.
11. Truchil A, Dravid N, Singer S, Martinez Z, Kuruna T & Waulters S. Lessons from the Camden Coalition of Healthcare Providers' first Medicaid shared savings performance evaluation. *Population Health Management*. 2018; 21 (4): 278-284. DOI: 10.1089/pop.2017.0164.
12. Healthy People 2030 framework. Available from https://odphp.health.gov/healthypeople/about/healthy-people-2030-framework, (accessed on 2024/12/10).
13. How Merck for Mothers reached 30M women through maternal health programs. Available from https://www.mmm-online.com/home/channel/how-merck-for-mothers-reached-women-programs/, (accessed on 2024/11/21).
14. *California efforts to address behavioral health and SDOH: A look at Whole Person Care Pilots*. Available from https://www.kff.org/mental-health/issue-brief/california-efforts-to-address-behavioral-health-and-sdoh-a-look-at-whole-person-care-pilots/, (accessed on 2024/11/22).
15. Simon C, Kocot SL & Dietz WH. Partnership for a Healthier America: Creating change through private sector partnerships. *Obesity*. 2017; 6 (2): 108-115. DOI: 10.1007/s13679-017-0253-z.
16. Njoku A, Evans M, Nimo-Sefah L & Bailey J. Listen to the whispers before they become screams: Addressing Black maternal morbidity and mortality in the United States. *Healthcare (Basel)*. 2023; 11 (3): 438. DOI:10.3390/healthcare11030438.
17. Fortmann AL, Savin KL, Clark TL, Philis-Tsimikas A & Gallo LC. Innovative diabetes interventions in the U.S. Hispanic population. *Diabetes Spectrum*. 2019; 32 (4): 295–301. DOI: 10.2337/ds19-0006.
18. The role of health care in eliminating health inequities in Minnesota: A report to United States of Care and its partners. Available from https://www.wilder.org/sites/default/files/imports/U.S.ofCare_FeasibilityStudy_ReportPublic_1-20.pdf, (accessed on 2024/10/29).
19. Chisolm DJ, Dugan JA, Figueroa JF, Lane-Fall MB, Roby

DH, Rodriguez HP & Ortega AN. Improving health equity through health care systems research. *Health Services Research*. 2023; 58 (Suppl 3): 289–299. DOI: 10.1111/1475-6773.14192.

20. Brown AF, Ma GX, Miranda J, Eng E, *et al*. Structural interventions to reduce and eliminate health disparities. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2019; 109 (S1): S72–S78. DOI: 10.2105/AJPH.2018.304844.
21. Racism and racial inequities in health: A data-informed primer on health disparities in Massachusetts. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts Foundation, Available from https://www.bluecrossmafoundation.org/sites/g/files/csphws2101/files/2021-12/Health_Equity_Primer_Dec%202021_final_0.pdf. (accessed on 2024/09/02).
22. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (n.d.). About REACH. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Available from https://www.cdc.gov/reach/php/about/index.html, (accessed on 2024/11/21).
23. Racial disparities in maternal and infant health: Current status and efforts to address them. Kaiser Family Foundation. Available from https://www.kff.org/racial-equity-and-health-policy/issue-brief/racial-disparities-in-maternal-and-infant-health-current-status-and-efforts-to-address-them/, (accessed on 2025/01/05).
24. Roshan Esmailpour M, Bahrami AM & Rajabi S. (n.d.). Reducing health inequalities through cross-sectoral action: Balancing equity in health with equity for other social goods. *Journal of Health Policy and Management*. 2022; 5 (5). DOI:10.37532/pulhpm.22.5.
25. Zhang X, Pérez-Stable EJ, Bourne PE, Peprah E, *et al.* Big data science: Opportunities and challenges to address minority health and health disparities in the 21st century. *Ethnicity & Disease*. 2017; 27 (2): 95–106. DOI:10.18865/ed.27.2.95.
26. Li E, Clarke J, Ashrafian H, Darzi A, Neves AL. The Impact of Electronic Health Record Interoperability on Safety and Quality of Care in High-Income Countries: Systematic Review*, J Med Internet Res.* 2022; 24 (9): e38144. DOI: 10.2196/38144.
27. Egede LE, Ozieh MN, Campbell JA, Williams JS & Walker RJ. Cross-sector collaborations between health care systems and community partners that target health equity/disparities in diabetes care. *Diabetes Spectrum*. 2022; 35 (3): 313–319. DOI:10.2337/dsi22-0001.
28. Vela MB, Erondu AI, Smith NA, Peek ME, *et al.* Eliminating explicit and implicit biases in health care: Evidence and research needs. *Annual Review of Public Health.* 2022; 43: 477–501. DOI:10.1146/annurev-publhealth-052620-103528.
29. Becker J & Smith DB. The need for cross-sector collaboration. *Stanford Social Innovation Review.* 2018. https://ssir.org/articles/entry/the_need_for_cross_sector_collaboration, Retrieved on 1/15/2024. DOI:10.48558/8473-jd42.